UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
١	10/511,266	10/20/2004	Wolfgang Reier	016906-0325	4294
10/511,266 10/20/2004 22428 7590 03/21/200 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007	7	EXAMINER			
	SUITE 500		LEO, LEONARD R		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•		3744	
•				T	
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	3 MO	NTHS	03/21/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		٤		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments	10/511,266	REIER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leonard R. Leo	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed not this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 D	<u> Pecember 2006</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	,			
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 5-24 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 5,6,13 and 24 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,7-12 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 22 and 23 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 19, 2006 has been entered.

Claim 2 is cancelled, and claims 3 and 5-24 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitations of "at least one reinforcing fin" in lines 4 and 6 should read -- the at least one reinforcing fin --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3, 7-11 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugimoto et al (5,992,514)(Figure 1). Sugimoto et al ('514) discloses webs/beads 4 and reinforcing fins connected thereto. Regarding claim 9, the presence of a method limitation in an apparatus claim bears no patentable weight in this instance. See MPEP 2113.

Art Unit: 3744

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-6, 13 and 24 are allowed.

Claims 22-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

The rejections in view of Haussmann and Inoue et al are withdrawn in view of the claim amendment.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' remarks with respect to Sugimoto et al ('514) are mistaken. Sugimoto et al (column 5, lines 44-47 and below) discloses the side plates 23, 33 are *integrally* formed together.

two heat exchanger core portions as shown in FIG. 2. As shown in FIG. 1, the side plates 23 and 33 are integrally 45 formed from a sheet of aluminum plate to a general U-shape in cross-sections. A connecting portion 4 for connecting the

Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the two side plates 23, 33 of Sugimoto et al are not two separate structures, but a single integral structure.

No further comments are deemed necessary at this time.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4

ART UNIT 3744

March 17, 2007